

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/786,616		03/06/2001	Laurent Gauche	PF980061	3464	
24498	7590	08/16/2006		EXAMINER		
THOMSON PATENT O		SING INC. ONS	PICH, PONNOREAY			
PO BOX 5312				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETO	N, NJ 08	8543-5312		2135		
				DATE MAIL ED: 08/16/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 08/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/786,616	GAUCHE, LAURENT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ponnoreay Pich	2135		

	Politicieay Pich	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring of the fee. The appropriate of the final Office of the final Office of the final Office of the feet	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor			coadoc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	·	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7-9.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments raise new issues that would require further search and/or reconsideration by the examiner. The examiner notes that some of applicant's amendments were in response to indication of allowable subject matter by the examiner. However, applicant also amended the claims in manners which the examiner did not give suggestions for. As such, the scopes of the amended claims are ones that the examiner has never before considered. In claim 1, applicant deleted the limitation "means for selecting an entilement management message intended for a given detachable security element", which was not an amendment that was suggested by the examiner. The last limitation in claim 1 is also amended by applicant and is not an amendment that the examiner suggested, but rather one applicant did by applicant's own choice. Note that due to these amendments, the examiner would have to consider the amended claims for 112, second paragraph problems as well as perform an updated search. It is also noted that dependent claim 3 was also amended, but was not an amendment that the examiner suggested.

KIM VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2